NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ARMANDO RIVAS-SOLIS, Petitioner, v. ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 09-72921 Agency No. A078-159-043 MEMORANDUM[*]

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 19, 2012[**]

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Armando Rivas-Solis, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252.

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**] The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We review de novo questions of law, *Cerezo v. Mukasey*, 512 F.3d 1163, 1166 (9th Cir. 2008), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA correctly concluded that Rivas-Solis is ineligible to adjust status because he is inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C)(i)(I) for having accrued more than one year of unlawful presence in the United States and then reentering without admission. *See Garfias-Rodriguez v. Holder*, No. 09-72603, 2012 WL 5077137, at *7 (9th Cir. Oct. 19, 2012) (en banc) (aliens who are inadmissible under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C)(i)(I) are not eligible for adjustment of status under 8 U.S.C. § 1255(i)).

Rivas-Solis points to no authority to support his contention that the BIA was required to issue a precedential decision in his case.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.