

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUL 5 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

GEORGE JOHAN LONDAH-EVERT;
JOY JERRY LONDAH,

Petitioners,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-71249

Agency Nos. A074-417-877
A095-635-767

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 14, 2016**

Before: BEA, WATFORD, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

George Johan Londah-Evert and Joy Jerry Londah, natives and citizens of Indonesia, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review the denial of a motion to reopen for abuse of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

discretion, *Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioners' motion to reopen as untimely, where the motion was filed more than twenty-one months after the BIA's final decision, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and petitioners failed to demonstrate changed circumstances in Indonesia to qualify for an exception to the time limitation for a motion to reopen, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii), *Najmabadi*, 597 F.3d at 991-92 (BIA did not abuse its discretion where petitioner failed to produce material evidence). We reject petitioners' argument that the BIA erred in its analysis.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.