

OCT 06 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

HENRY WILLIAM TELLES,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

COUNTY OF STANISLAUS; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 14-15032

D.C. No. 1:10-cv-00982-AWI-  
BAM

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of California  
Anthony W. Ishii, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 27, 2016\*\*

Before: TASHIMA, SILVERMAN, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Henry William Telles appeals pro se from the district court's judgment following a jury trial in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging Fourth Amendment violations. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We affirm.

We cannot review any of Telles' contentions challenging his jury trial

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

because Telles has failed to provide the relevant pre-trial and trial transcripts required to review the alleged errors. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 10(b)(2); *Syncom Capital Corp. v. Wade*, 924 F.2d 167, 169-70 (9th Cir. 1991) (dismissing appeal by pro se appellant for failure to provide trial transcripts).

**AFFIRMED.**