

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 18 2018

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JUAN RUIZ-MARTINEZ, AKA Juan Ruiz,

No. 16-72962

Petitioner,

Agency No. A099-824-839

v.

MEMORANDUM*

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 12, 2018**

Before: RAWLINSON, CLIFTON, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

Juan Ruiz-Martinez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We lack jurisdiction to review the agency’s discretionary determination that Ruiz-Martinez failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to his qualifying relatives. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 930 (9th Cir. 2005). Although the court would retain jurisdiction over colorable questions of law and constitutional claims, Ruiz-Martinez’s contentions that the agency failed to consider relevant evidence, engaged in improper conjecture, and failed to provide reasoned explanations to support its decisions are not supported by the record and do not amount to colorable claims. *See id.* (“To be colorable in this context, . . . the claim must have some possible validity.” (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)); *Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 990 (9th Cir. 2010) (BIA is only required to “announce its decision in terms sufficient to enable” review).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.