

FILED

AUG 21 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOSE LEON GONZALES-LONGORIA,
AKA Jose Leon Gonzalez-Longoria,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

DENNIS MATTHEW WONG; et al.,

Respondents-Appellees.

No. 18-15777

D.C. No. 1:17-cv-01587-LJO-MJS

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Lawrence J. O'Neill, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 15, 2018**

Before: FARRIS, BYBEE, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Federal prisoner Jose Leon Gonzales-Longoria appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his petition for writ of mandamus. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Wilhelm v. Rotman*, 680 F.3d 1113, 1118 (9th Cir. 2012) (dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A); *Kildare v.*

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

1 *Saenz*, 325 F. 3d 1078, 1082 (9th Cir. 2003) (denial of mandamus); *Barren v.*
2 *Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998) (order) (dismissal under 28
3 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii)). We affirm.

4 The district court properly dismissed Gonzalez-Longoria’s petition for writ
5 of mandamus because Gonzalez-Longoria failed to show that there was “no other
6 adequate remedy” available. *Lowry v. Barhart*, 329 F.3d 1019, 1021 (9th Cir.
7 2003) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted) (setting forth elements for
8 mandamus relief).

9 **AFFIRMED.**