

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 19 2018

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SANDRA MORAES,

No. 15-71828

Petitioner,

Agency No. A200-041-932

v.

MEMORANDUM*

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an
Immigration Judge's Decision

Submitted September 12, 2018**

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Sandra Moraes, a native and citizen of Brazil, petitions for review of an immigration judge's ("IJ") determination under 8 C.F.R. § 1208.31(a) that she did not have a reasonable fear of persecution or torture in Brazil, and thus is not entitled to relief from her reinstated removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the IJ's factual findings.

Andrade-Garcia v. Lynch, 828 F.3d 829, 833 (9th Cir. 2016). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's determination that Moraes failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of persecution on account of a protected ground. *See Pagayon v. Holder*, 675 F.3d 1182, 1191 (9th Cir. 2011) (a personal dispute, standing alone, does not constitute persecution based on a protected ground).

Substantial evidence also supports the IJ's determination that Moraes failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of torture by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government of Brazil. *See Andrade-Garcia*, 828 F.3d at 836-37.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.