

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FEB 21 2019

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ARTASHES PETROSYAN,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 17-73459

Agency No. A099-445-924

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 19, 2019**

Before: FERNANDEZ, SILVERMAN, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Artashes Petrosyan, a native and citizen of Armenia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Petrosyan's untimely motion to reopen where Petrosyan failed to establish prima facie eligibility for asylum, withholding of removal, or relief under the Convention Against Torture, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *see also Najmabadi*, 597 F.3d at 986 (the BIA can deny a motion to reopen for failure to establish prima facie eligibility for the relief sought).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.