

FILED

FEB 21 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RONALD A. WELCH,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

BILL LATOUR, Esquire,

Real-party-in-interest-
Appellee,

NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 18-56424

D.C. No. 5:11-cv-00740-DFM

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Douglas F. McCormick, Magistrate Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 12, 2019**
San Francisco, California

Before: GRABER, W. FLETCHER, and OWENS, Circuit Judges.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes that this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

This case is before us for the second time. Previously, upon Plaintiff Ronald Welch's appeal, we vacated the judgment for attorney fees and remanded for the district court to explain its reasons for the fee award to real-party-in-interest Bill LaTour, Plaintiff's lawyer in the underlying Social Security benefits case. Welch v. LaTour, 714 F. App'x 766 (9th Cir. 2018) (unpublished). The district court has now provided a detailed explanation for the award.

We review for abuse of discretion the amount of a fee award under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). Parrish v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec. Admin., 698 F.3d 1215, 1219 (9th Cir. 2012). Applying that standard of review, we affirm. The district court carefully considered Plaintiff's arguments and all the required factors, and its reasoning and result are permissible.

AFFIRMED.