

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FEB 26 2019

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

INDRATATI SELAMET,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 18-70077

Agency No. A088-129-499

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 19, 2019**

Before: FERNANDEZ, SILVERMAN, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Indratati Selamet, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion, *Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010), and

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Selamat's untimely motion to reopen where Selamat failed to establish materially changed country conditions in Indonesia to qualify for the regulatory exception to the filing deadline. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2)-(3); *Najmabadi*, 597 F.3d at 986 ("The BIA can deny a motion to reopen [for] . . . failure to introduce previously unavailable, material evidence" (citation omitted)).

We deny Selamat's request for judicial notice (Docket Entry No. 12).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.