

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 8 2020

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 19-10258

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 1:01-cr-05137-LJO-3

v.

MEMORANDUM*

DARWIN KEITH BUSH,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Lawrence J. O'Neill, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 2, 2020**

Before: LEAVY, PAEZ, and BENNETT, Circuit Judges.

Darwin Keith Bush appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the revocation of supervised release, as well as the 8-month sentence and 24-month term of supervised release imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Bush's counsel has filed a brief stating

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Bush the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.