

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 23 2021

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

MICHAEL E. LESH,

No. 19-71780

Petitioner,

BRB No. 18-0496

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

ADVANTAGE FEDERAL RESOURCING;  
et al.,

Respondents.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Benefits Review Board

Submitted February 11, 2021\*\*  
San Francisco, California

Before: HURWITZ and BRESS, Circuit Judges, and FEINERMAN,\*\* District  
Judge.

Michael E. Lesh petitions for review of the Department of Labor Benefits  
Review Board's decisions affirming two orders issued by the administrative law

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision  
without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

\*\*\* The Honorable Gary Feinerman, United States District Judge for the  
Northern District of Illinois, sitting by designation.

judge awarding attorneys' fees and supplemental attorneys' fees to Lesh's attorney, Charles Robinowitz, pursuant to the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, 33 U.S.C. § 901 *et seq.* This court recently issued a precedential opinion, *Seachris v. Brady-Hamilton Stevedore Co.*, No. 18-71807, \_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_ (9th Cir. Apr. 19, 2021), which addresses a Benefits Review Board fee award to Robinowitz in a manner that likely bears on the orders challenged here. Accordingly, we grant Lesh's petition for review, vacate the Board's decisions, and remand to the Board for further consideration in light of *Seachris*. Each party shall bear its own costs on appeal.

**PETITION GRANTED; VACATED and REMANDED.**