

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 30 2021

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

ARNULFO GOMEZ TEJEDA,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 20-30079

D.C. No. 2:13-cr-06012-EFS-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Washington
Edward F. Shea, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 20, 2021**

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, TASHIMA and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges.

Arnulfo Gomez Tejeda appeals from the district court's order denying his motion for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and Amendment 782. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Gomez Tejeda's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Gomez Tejeda the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.