

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FEB 22 2022

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ROBERT M. MEAD,

No. 21-15368

Plaintiff-Appellant,

D.C. No. 2:20-cv-00578-DB

v.

MEMORANDUM*

CAROLYN INEZ WILLIAMS,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Deborah L. Barnes, Magistrate Judge, Presiding**

Submitted February 15, 2022***

Before: FERNANDEZ, TASHIMA, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Robert M. Mead appeals pro se from the district court's order granting defendant's post-judgment motion in Mead's action alleging federal and state law claims. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We affirm.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The parties consented to proceed before a magistrate judge. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

*** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

In its order, the district court clarified that the parties' state court case, *In re Marriage of Mead and Williams-Mead*, was not removed to the district court. For the reasons set forth in the district court's order, we affirm.

Mead's motion to recall the mandate in appeal No. 20-17370 and to consolidate that appeal with this appeal is denied.

AFFIRMED.