

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUL 18 2022

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 21-10331

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 2:05-cr-01127-DGC-1

v.

MEMORANDUM*

PAUL RICHARD BUTTS,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona

David G. Campbell, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 12, 2022**

Before: SCHROEDER, R. NELSON, and VANDYKE, Circuit Judges.

Paul Richard Butts appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the revocation of supervised release, as well as the three-month sentence and lifetime term of supervised release imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Butts's counsel has filed a brief stating that

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Butts the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.