

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUL 21 2022

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: FIDEL H. PAJARILLO,

No. 21-16708

Debtor,

D.C. No. 2:19-cv-02106-GMN

FIDEL H. PAJARILLO,

MEMORANDUM*

Appellant,

v.

RICK A. YARNALL, Ch. 13 Trustee; U.S.
BANK,

Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada
Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 12, 2022**

Before: SCHROEDER, R. NELSON, and VANDYKE, Circuit Judges.

Chapter 13 debtor Fidel H. Pajarillo appeals pro se from the district court's

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

order denying his motion for relief under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) in his appeal of his bankruptcy action. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C.

§ 158(d). We review for an abuse of discretion. *Valdivia v. Schwarzenegger*, 599 F.3d 984, 988 (9th Cir. 2010). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Pajarillo's Rule 60(b) motion because Pajarillo presented no basis for post-judgment relief. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b); *Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County, Or. v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993) (setting forth grounds for reconsideration under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60).

We reject as without merit Pajarillo's accusations of misconduct by the district judge.

AFFIRMED.