

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 20 2023

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

GEORGETTE G. PURNELL,

No. 22-15489

Plaintiff-Appellant,

D.C. No. 1:20-cv-01759-JLT-EPG

v.

MEMORANDUM*

N. HUNT, Sergeant #16934; LUPER,
Officer; GARCIA-PERALTA, Officer;
CARTER, Officer,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Jennifer L. Thurston, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 17, 2023**

Before: CLIFTON, R. NELSON, and BRESS, Circuit Judges.

Georgette G. Purnell appeals pro se from the district court's post-judgment order in her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging various federal and state law claims.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for an abuse of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

discretion a denial of a motion for relief from judgment under Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Lal v. California*, 610 F.3d 518, 523 (9th Cir. 2010). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Purnell's motion for reconsideration because Purnell failed to demonstrate a basis for relief. *See Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County, Or. v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1262-63 (9th Cir. 1993) (setting forth grounds for relief under Rule 60(b)).

AFFIRMED.