

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 7 2023

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

RANDY WIGGINS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting Commissioner  
of Social Security,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 20-55490

D.C. No.

2:19-cv-00420-FMO-JEM

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Fernando M. Olguin, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 7, 2023\*\*

Before: D. NELSON, BERZON, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Randy Wiggins appeals pro se from the district court's  
judgment affirming the Commissioner of Social Security's decision  
denying his application for supplemental security income under Title

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

XVI of the Social Security Act. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Attmore v. Colvin*, 827 F.3d 872, 875 (9th Cir. 2016), and we affirm.

Wiggins argues that his impairments meet or equal listed impairments, and that the ALJ thus erred by failing to award benefits at step three of the sequential analysis. But Wiggins neither identified any listed impairment he met or equaled nor provided any argument or record citations supporting his claim here or before the district court. Similarly, Wiggins's assertions regarding the ALJ's hypotheticals, the definition of disability, and the Americans with Disabilities Act fail to identify any error and lack supporting argument. These arguments are thus insufficient to invoke this court's review. *See Indep. Towers of Wash. v. Washington*, 350 F.3d 925, 929 (9th Cir. 2003) (“[W]e review only issues which are argued specifically and distinctly in a party's opening brief.” (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).

**AFFIRMED.**