

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 18 2023

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CRISPIN GRANADOS,

No. 21-16198

Plaintiff-Appellant,

D.C. No. 2:20-cv-02039-SPL-MHB

v.

MEMORANDUM*

PADILLA, SSU, Sgt.; BALLAS, CO;
CASTILLO, Sgt.; DIAZ, Correction Officer,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
Steven Paul Logan, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 15, 2023**

Before: TASHIMA, S.R. THOMAS, and FORREST, Circuit Judges.

Arizona state prisoner Crispin Granados appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing for failure to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging constitutional claims. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Pickern v. Pier 1*

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Imports (U.S.), Inc., 457 F.3d 963, 968 (9th Cir. 2006). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Granados's action because after repeated amendment, Granados's operative complaint failed to comply with Rule 8. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2)-(3) (a pleading must contain "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that a pleader is entitled to relief" and must contain a "demand for the relief sought"); *Nevijel v. N. Coast Life Ins. Co.*, 651 F.2d 671, 674 (9th Cir. 1981) (a complaint that is "verbose, confusing and conclusory" violates Rule 8).

All pending motions are denied.

AFFIRMED.