

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 30 2023

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

HANH THI MY DO,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

ARCH INSURANCE COMPANY; DOES,
1-20,

Defendants-Appellees,

v.

TWIN TOWN TREATMENT CENTERS
ARCH INSURANCE COMPANY YORK
RISK SERVICES GROUP PMA
COMPANIES; et al.,

Cross-defendants-Appellees.

No. 22-55860

D.C. No. 8:20-cv-02105-SPG-ADS

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Sherilyn Peace Garnett, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 15, 2023**

Before: TASHIMA, S.R. THOMAS, and FORREST, Circuit Judges.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Hahn Thi My Do appeals pro se from the district court's summary judgment in her action alleging discrimination in connection with settlement offers following an automobile collision. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We affirm.

In her opening briefs, Do fails to address the district court's summary judgment orders and has therefore waived her challenge to the district court's summary judgment. *See Indep. Towers of Wash. v. Washington*, 350 F.3d 925, 929 (9th Cir. 2003) (“[W]e will not consider any claims that were not actually argued in appellant's opening brief.”); *Acosta-Huerta v. Estelle*, 7 F.3d 139, 144 (9th Cir. 1993) (issues not supported by argument in pro se appellant's opening brief are waived).

All pending motions are denied.

AFFIRMED.