

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FEB 29 2024

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

GEORGE DAVID OSTWALD, Jr.,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 23-1669

D.C. No.

1:17-cr-00034-SPW-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Montana
Susan P. Watters, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 21, 2024**

Before: FERNANDEZ, NGUYEN, and OWENS, Circuit Judges.

George David Oswald, Jr., appeals from the ten-month sentence imposed following the district court's revocation of supervised release. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Oswald's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

record. We have provided Oswald the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.