

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 25 2024

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ELIDIO MEZA-MORAS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 24-2436

D.C. No.

4:21-cr-01400-JAS-JR-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
James A. Soto, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 17, 2024**

Before: WARDLAW, BADE, and H.A. THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Elidio Meza-Moras appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the revocation of supervised release and eight-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Meza-Moras's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Meza-Moras the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.