

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 9 2024

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ANGELES AMAYA,

Petitioner,

v.

MERRICK B. GARLAND, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 23-2260

Agency No.
A201-387-516

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 5, 2024**
Pasadena, California

Before: GRABER, SANCHEZ, and H.A. THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Angeles Amaya is a citizen of Mexico. She seeks review of a decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals and an immigration judge (collectively, “the Agency”) denying her claims for asylum, withholding of removal, and relief under

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

the Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252 and deny the petition.

1. Amaya does not challenge on review either the Agency’s denial of cancellation of removal or its determination that her asylum application was untimely. Nor does she substantially challenge the Agency’s dispositive finding that she failed to establish a nexus to a protected ground and is thus not eligible for withholding of removal. She has thus forfeited any challenge to these issues. *See Hernandez v. Garland*, 47 F.4th 908, 916 (9th Cir. 2022) (holding that arguments that are not “coherently develop[ed]” are forfeited (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).

2. Substantial evidence supports the Agency’s conclusions that Amaya was never tortured in Mexico and that she faces neither a “particularized” nor a likely risk of torture if returned to Mexico. *See Tzompantzi-Salazar v. Garland*, 32 F.4th 696, 705–07 (9th Cir. 2022) (discussing a petitioner’s burden of proof on this issue).

PETITION DENIED.