

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 28 2025

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

SAPHARA LYNN ANDERSON,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 24-6779

D.C. No.

4:21-cr-00544-JCH-LCK-3

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona

John C. Hinderaker, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 22, 2025\*\*

Before: GRABER, H.A. THOMAS, and JOHNSTONE, Circuit Judges.

Saphara Lynn Anderson appeals from the district court's judgment revoking probation and imposing a sentence of 4 months and 35 days, to be followed by 24 months of supervised release.

Anderson's counsel filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

(1967), stating that there are no non-frivolous arguments for appeal. Anderson has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Our independent review of the record, *see Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no non-frivolous arguments to be made on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

**AFFIRMED.**