## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAY 28 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

STANLEY BECK,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MICHAEL MINEV; JULIE WILLIAMS; BENJAMIN GUTTIERREZ; JAYMIE CABRERA; CHRIS NEHLS; G. TAINO; WILSON BERNALES,

Defendants - Appellants,

and

STEVE SISOLAK, CHARLES DANIELS, CALVIN JOHNSON, SONJA CARILLO, MANALANG, Doctor, BRYAN, NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendants.

No. 24-3907

D.C. No. 2:23-cv-00362-MMD-EJY

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Nevada Miranda M. Du, District Judge, Presiding

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

## Submitted May 21, 2025\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, LEE, and VANDYKE, Circuit Judges.

Defendants-Appellants appeal from the district court's interlocutory order denying their motion to dismiss, on the basis of qualified immunity, Nevada state prisoner Stanley Beck's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging deliberate indifference to serious medical needs. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291 and the collateral order doctrine. *Garraway v. Ciufo*, 113 F.4th 1210, 1216 (9th Cir. 2024). We review de novo questions of our own jurisdiction, *Hunt v. Imperial Merchant Servs., Inc.*, 560 F.3d 1137, 1140 (9th Cir. 2009), and we dismiss this appeal as moot.

This appeal is moot because, during the pendency of the appeal, Beck amended the complaint that formed the basis of the appeal. *See Falck N. Cal. Corp. v. Scott Griffith Collaborative Sols., LLC*, 25 F.4th 763, 765-66 (9th Cir. 2022) (holding that the amendment of a complaint on which an interlocutory appeal is based moots the appeal because the prior complaint becomes "a legal nullity").

In light of our disposition, we do not consider the parties' contentions about the merits of the appeal.

2 24-3907

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

All pending motions are denied as moot.

## DISMISSED.

3 24-3907