

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 4 2025

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

THOMAS ALVARADO,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

WARDEN DEWAYNE HENDRIX, FCI
Sheridan; FCI SHERIDAN,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 23-1936

D.C. No. 3:21-cv-01740-CL

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Oregon
Ann L. Aiken, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 21, 2025**

Before: SILVERMAN, LEE, and VANDYKE, Circuit Judges.

Federal prisoner Thomas Alvarado appeals pro se from the district court's judgment in his action alleging inadequate medical treatment and unconstitutional conditions of confinement and seeking injunctive relief. We review de novo

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

questions of our own jurisdiction, *Hunt v. Imperial Merchant Servs., Inc.*, 560 F.3d 1137, 1140 (9th Cir. 2009), and we dismiss this appeal as moot.

Because Alvarado challenges only the district court's disposition of his claim for injunctive relief, but Alvarado was transferred to another prison during the pendency of this appeal, we dismiss this appeal as moot. *See Pride v. Correa*, 719 F.3d 1130, 1138 (9th Cir. 2013) ("When an inmate challenges prison conditions at a particular correctional facility, but has been transferred from the facility and has no reasonable expectation of returning, his claim [for injunctive relief] is moot.").

Defendants' motion to supplement the record on appeal (Docket Entry No. 17) is granted.

DISMISSED.