## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

NANCY MARIE MILLER,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 24-7575

D.C. No. 1:21-cr-00011-TMB-MMS-1

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Alaska Timothy M. Burgess, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 18, 2025\*\*

Before: CANBY, S.R. THOMAS, and SUNG, Circuit Judges.

Nancy Marie Miller appeals from the district court's judgment and

challenges her guilty-plea conviction and 60-month sentence for attempted

possession with intent to distribute controlled substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C.

§§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A) and 846.

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## **FILED**

JUN 26 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS Miller's counsel filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738

(1967), stating that there are no non-frivolous arguments for appeal. Miller has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

In the plea agreement, Miller waived her right to appeal the conviction and sentence.

Our independent review of the record, *see Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no non-frivolous issue as to whether the appeal waiver is enforceable. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009). We therefore dismiss the appeal. *See id.* at 988.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

## DISMISSED.