

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 27 2025

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

GEORGE JARVIS AUSTIN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY;
YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS, District
Judge,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 24-6943

D.C. No. 3:24-cv-00260-CRB

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
Charles R. Breyer, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 18, 2025**

Before: CANBY, S.R. THOMAS, and SUNG, Circuit Judges.

George Jarvis Austin appeals pro se from the district court's order declaring him a vexatious litigant and entering a pre-filing review order against him. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for an abuse of discretion.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Molski v. Evergreen Dynasty Corp., 500 F.3d 1047, 1056 (9th Cir. 2007). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in declaring Austin to be a vexatious litigant and entering a pre-filing review order against him after providing notice and an opportunity to be heard, developing an adequate record for review, making substantive findings of frivolousness, and narrowly tailoring the order to prevent abusive litigation conduct. *See Ringgold-Lockhart v. County of Los Angeles*, 761 F.3d 1057, 1062 (9th Cir. 2014) (setting forth the requirements the district court must consider before imposing pre-filing restrictions).

All pending motions are denied.

AFFIRMED.