

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 27 2025

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

GABRIEL CHARLES REED, as Next of
Friend to M.R.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

EUGENE SCHOOL DISTRICT
4J; PAULA MCCOWN; JONATHAN
ANDREW DEY, Jr.; MARRIOTT
BOURSIQUOT; JOHN BOITA; KAYLA
DUNCAN; JOE HADLEY; JENNIFER
ANNETT; KATHRYN JOHNSON; SARA
CAMPBELL; MELISSA
IBARRA; BERNADETTE
ADERIRAN; SETH PFAEFFLIN; MIKE
INGMAN; ERIKA WOLF; COURTNEY
LEONARD; ABBY NEHLS-
LOWE; REBECCA ANSEN; KEITH
USSERY; ELIZA DRUMMOND; KAREN
APGAR; TOM MALONEY; LISA
FJORDBECK; DELLA
THOMAS; MICHELLE DUNN,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 24-789

D.C. No. 6:24-cv-00078-AA

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Oregon
Ann L. Aiken, District Judge, Presiding

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

Submitted June 18, 2025**

Before: CANBY, S.R. THOMAS, and SUNG, Circuit Judges.

Gabriel Reed appeals pro se from the district court’s judgment dismissing sua sponte his action brought on behalf of his minor child alleging various federal and state law claims. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo questions of standing. *Johns v. County of San Diego*, 114 F.3d 874, 877 (9th Cir. 1997). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Reed’s action because “a parent or guardian cannot bring an action on behalf of a minor child without retaining a lawyer.” *Id.* at 877; *see also Grizzell v. San Elijo Elementary Sch.*, 110 F.4th 1177, 1179 (9th Cir. 2024) (rejecting statutory, constitutional, and policy challenges to the rule prohibiting parents from representing their children pro se).

AFFIRMED.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).