

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 30 2025

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FREEDOM CHETENI,

Petitioner - Appellant,

and

THE VR SCHOOL, a California non-profit
corporation,

Petitioner,

v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION, a California state
agency; MALIA VELLA, in her official
capacity as Deputy
Superintendent; CARRIE LOPES, In his
official capacity as Division Director,

Respondents - Appellees.

No. 25-775

D.C. No. 3:23-cv-06286-SI

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
Susan Illston, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 18, 2025**

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Before: CANBY, S.R. THOMAS, and SUNG, Circuit Judges.

Freedom Cheteni appeals pro se from the district court's order denying his motion for a preliminary injunction in his action alleging federal claims arising out of the suspension of funding for The VR School, a private school Cheteni operates. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1). We review for an abuse of discretion. *Am. Trucking Ass'ns, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles*, 559 F.3d 1046, 1052 (9th Cir. 2009). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Cheteni's motion for a preliminary injunction because Cheteni failed to establish the requirements for such relief. *See id.* (plaintiff seeking preliminary injunction must establish that he is likely to succeed on the merits, he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, the balance of equities tips in his favor, and an injunction is in the public interest); *see also Park Vill. Apartment Tenants Ass'n v. Mortimer Howard Tr.*, 636 F.3d 1150, 1160 (9th Cir. 2011) (stating that mandatory injunctions are not generally granted "unless extreme or very serious damage will result" (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).

Cheteni's motion (Docket Entry No. 14) for judicial notice is denied.

AFFIRMED.