

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 26 2025

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RICK DRAKE,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 24-6789

D.C. No.

3:23-cr-08100-SPL-2

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Steven P. Logan, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 17, 2025\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, OWENS, and BRESS, Circuit Judges.

Rick Drake appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his jury-trial conviction and 180-month sentence for aiding and abetting robbery and brandishing of a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 1153, and 2111, and 924(c).

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Drake's counsel filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), stating that there are no non-frivolous arguments for appeal. Drake has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Our independent review of the record, *see Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no non-frivolous arguments to be made on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

**AFFIRMED.**