

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

NOV 14 2025

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ANA BEATRIZ ORELLANA RIVAS; et
al.,*

Petitioners,

v.

PAMELA BONDI, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 21-690

Agency No.

A209-978-947

A209-978-948

MEMORANDUM**

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 12, 2025***

Before: SCHROEDER, RAWLINSON, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

Ana Beatriz Orellana Rivas and her child, natives and citizens of El
Salvador, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order

* The clerk will update the docket to include A. J. C. O., A209-978-948, as a petitioner.

** This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

*** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying their application for asylum and denying Orellana Rivas's applications for withholding of removal and protection under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency's factual findings. *Arrey v. Barr*, 916 F.3d 1149, 1157 (9th Cir. 2019). We deny the petition for review.

Petitioners do not challenge the agency's adverse credibility determination and it is dispositive of petitioners' asylum claim, and Orellana Rivas's withholding of removal claim. *See Lopez-Vasquez v. Holder*, 706 F.3d 1072, 1079-80 (9th Cir. 2013). Therefore, we do not address petitioners' arguments in the opening brief regarding the merits of the asylum and withholding claims.

Orellana Rivas also does not challenge the agency's determination that she failed to show it is more likely than not she will be tortured by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government if returned to El Salvador. Therefore, the CAT claim also fails. *Id.*

The temporary stay of removal remains in place until the mandate issues.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.