## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

NOV 18 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MATTHEW RAY TACK,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 25-2260

D.C. No.

9:24-cr-00060-DWM-1

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Montana Donald W. Molloy, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 12, 2025\*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, RAWLINSON, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

Matthew Ray Tack appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his guilty-plea conviction and sentence of five-months' imprisonment, to be followed by five years of supervised release, for failure to register as a sex offender in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2250(b).

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Tack's counsel filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), stating that there are no non-frivolous arguments for appeal. Tack has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Our independent review of the record, *see Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no non-frivolous arguments to be made on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

AFFIRMED.

2 25-2260