

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JAN 2 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

PAUL R. JAMES, Jr.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

LOUTZENHISER, Officer; TRAINNER,  
Officer; CARRAGE, Officer,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 25-5446

D.C. No. 3:25-cv-00112-SLG

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Alaska  
Sharon L. Gleason, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 17, 2025\*\*

Before: PAEZ, CHRISTEN, and KOH, Circuit Judges.

Alaska state prisoner Paul R. James, Jr. appeals pro se from the district court's order denying his application to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging constitutional claims. We have jurisdiction under 28

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo the district court’s interpretation and application of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). *Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493 F.3d 1047, 1052 (9th Cir. 2007). We affirm.

The district court properly denied James’s motion to proceed IFP because James filed at least three prior actions in federal court that were dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim, and James did not plausibly allege that he was “under imminent danger of serious physical injury” at the time that he lodged the complaint. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); *Andrews*, 493 F.3d at 1055-56 (discussing the imminent danger exception to § 1915(g)).

All pending motions and requests are denied.

**AFFIRMED.**