

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAR 18 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

RAMIRO ALEXIS CASTILLO-  
MORALES; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

PAMELA BONDI, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 25-1212

Agency Nos.

A220-310-346

A220-308-042

A220-308-098

A220-310-312

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 16, 2026\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, NGUYEN, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Ramiro Alexis Castillo-Morales and his family, natives and citizens of El Salvador, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying their motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioners' motion to reopen based on ineffective assistance of counsel where petitioner did not comply with the procedural requirements set forth in *Matter of Lozada*, 19 I. & N. Dec. 637 (BIA 1988), and the ineffective assistance alleged is not plain on the face of the record. *See Tamang v. Holder*, 598 F.3d 1083, 1090-91 (9th Cir. 2010).

Petitioners' request to remand is denied.

The motion to stay removal is denied.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**