

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAR 18 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

LUIS ANTONIO MORALES-  
HERNANDEZ, AKA Luis Morales, AKA  
Luis Morales-Hernandez,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 25-5581

D.C. No.

4:23-cr-00555-RCC-BGM-1

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Raner C. Collins, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 16, 2026\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, NGUYEN, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Luis Antonio Morales-Hernandez appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the supervised release conditions imposed on remand for resentencing.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Morales-Hernandez's counsel filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), stating that there are no non-frivolous arguments for appeal.

Morales-Hernandez has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Our independent review of the record, *see Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no non-frivolous arguments to be made on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

**AFFIRMED.**