

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAY 7 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

REBECCA L. BRADSHAW; PATRICIA
A. RALPH,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

MI5, Office of the Inspector General; DNA
Warfare and Research and Development
Acquisition; GEORGE H.W. BUSH,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 25-4397

D.C. No. 3:25-cv-03983-SI

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
Susan Illston, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 22, 2026**

Before: LEE, DESAI, and JOHNSTONE, Circuit Judges.

Rebecca L. Bradshaw and Patricia A. Ralph appeal pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing their action alleging various claims. We have

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a district court's sua sponte dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *Carolina Cas. Ins. Co. v. Team Equip., Inc.*, 741 F.3d 1082, 1085-86 (9th Cir. 2014). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Bradshaw and Ralph's action because Bradshaw and Ralph's claims were "wholly insubstantial and frivolous" and thus failed to confer federal subject matter jurisdiction. *See Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Env't*, 523 U.S. 83, 89 (1998) (explaining that an action may be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction where the alleged federal claim is "wholly insubstantial and frivolous" or so "otherwise completely devoid of merit as not to involve a federal controversy" (citations omitted)).

We do not consider documents and facts not presented to the district court. *See United States v. Elias*, 921 F.2d 870, 874 (9th Cir. 1990).

All pending motions and requests are denied.

AFFIRMED.