

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAY 13 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DAVID MAGLIO,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 25-6657

D.C. No.

2:23-cr-00243-TLN-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Troy L. Nunley, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 22, 2026**

Before: LEE, DESAI, and JOHNSTONE, Circuit Judges.

David Maglio appeals from the district court's judgment revoking supervised release and imposing a 24-month sentence.

Maglio's counsel filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), stating that there are no non-frivolous arguments for appeal. Maglio has

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Our independent review of the record, *see Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no non-frivolous arguments to be made on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

AFFIRMED.