NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

JUN 25 2026

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VICKY STEFANNY ASENCIO VASQUEZ; et al., Petitioners, v. TODD BLANCHE, Acting Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 25-7314 Agency Nos. A245-776-604 A245-776-606 MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 22, 2026**

Before: CANBY, BENNETT, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

Vicky Stefanny Asencio Vasquez and her son, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reconsider. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reconsider. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion to reconsider because petitioners failed to identify any error of fact or law in the BIA's prior decision summarily dismissing petitioners' appeal. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1); *Ma v. Ashcroft*, 361 F.3d 553, 558 (9th Cir. 2004) ("A petitioner's motion to reconsider must identify a legal or factual error in the BIA's prior decision.").

Petitioners' contention that the BIA failed to provide a reasoned explanation for its denial is unsupported by the record. *See Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 990 (9th Cir. 2010) (agency need not write an exegesis on every contention).

The motion to stay removal is denied as moot.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.