NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

JUN 26 2026

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BRYAN MICHAEL THOMAS BRIGGS, Plaintiff - Appellant, v. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS; UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA; UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, Defendants - Appellees.	No. 25-2349 D.C. No. 3:24-cv-06489-RFL MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
Rita F. Lin, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 22, 2026**

Before: CANBY, BENNETT, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

Bryan Michael Thomas Briggs appeals pro se from the district court's

---

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

judgment dismissing his action alleging constitutional violations related to his bankruptcy proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for an abuse of discretion a dismissal for failure to serve the summons and complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). *Oyama v. Sheehan (In re Sheehan)*, 253 F.3d 507, 511 (9th Cir. 2001). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing Briggs's action without prejudice because Briggs failed to effect proper service of the summons and complaint despite being given notice, opportunities, and directives to do so, and did not establish good cause for this failure. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (explaining that the district court must dismiss for failure to serve after providing notice and absent a showing of good cause); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d) (setting forth requirements for waiver of service); Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(i) (setting forth requirements for service on United States agencies, corporations, officers, and employees).

We do not consider arguments and allegations raised for the first time on appeal. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009).

All pending motions are denied.

AFFIRMED.