NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

JUN 26 2026

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RAOUL E. HARLEY, Jr., Plaintiff - Appellant, v. CONTRA COSTA CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, Defendant - Appellee.	No. 25-2883 D.C. No. 2:24-cv-03059-TLN-JDP MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Troy L. Nunley, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 22, 2026**

Before: CANBY, BENNETT, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

Raoul E. Harley, Jr. appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his action challenging a state court custody order. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Watison v. Carter*, 668 F.3d 1108,

---

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

1112 (9th Cir. 2012) (dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)); *Noel v. Hall*, 341 F.3d 1148, 1154 (9th Cir. 2003) (dismissal under the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Harley's action as barred by the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine because his claims amounted to a forbidden "de facto appeal" of a prior state court judgment or were "inextricably intertwined" with that judgment. *Noel*, 341 F.3d at 1163-66 (discussing proper application of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine).

Harley's request, set forth in the opening brief, to file "all associated records under seal" is denied.

AFFIRMED.