

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 29 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

OSCAR EFRAIN PEREZ HERNANDEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

TODD BLANCHE, Acting Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 25-4029

Agency No.
A209-805-573

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 22, 2026**

Before: CANBY, BENNETT, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

Oscar Efrain Perez Hernandez, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

evidence whether the agency erred in applying the exceptional and extremely unusual hardship standard to a given set of facts. *Gonzalez-Juarez v. Bondi*, 137 F.4th 996, 1003 (9th Cir. 2025). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency’s determination that Perez Hernandez has not shown exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to qualifying relatives. *See id.* at 1006 (petitioner must show hardship “substantially beyond the ordinary hardship that would be expected when a close family member leaves the country” (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).

We reject as unsupported by the record Perez Hernandez’s contention that the agency conducted an inadequate hardship analysis.

The motion to stay removal is denied as moot.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.