

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 4 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CIRILO ESQUIVEL ALCANTAR, AKA
Cirilo Alcantar, AKA Cirilo E Alcantar,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 25-7197

D.C. No.

5:24-cr-00209-RGK-2

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
R. Gary Klausner, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 29, 2026**

Before: McKEOWN, TALLMAN, and MENDOZA, JR., Circuit Judges.

Cirilo Esquivel Alcantar appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the four-month sentence, three-year term of supervised release, and \$2,000 fine imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for conspiracy to sponsor

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

and exhibit roosters in an animal fighting venture in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, and sponsoring and exhibiting a rooster in an animal fighting venture in violation of 7 U.S.C. § 2156(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Alcantar's counsel filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), stating that there are no non-frivolous arguments for appeal. Alcantar has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Alcantar waived his right to appeal most aspects of his sentence. Our independent review of the record, *see Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no basis to challenge any aspect of the sentence that falls outside the appeal waiver. We therefore affirm as to those issues. We dismiss the remainder of the appeal because there is no non-frivolous issue as to whether the appeal waiver is enforceable. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

AFFIRMED in part; DISMISSED in part.