

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 27 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FELICIA A. Mc INNIS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

LEVY PREMIUM FOODSERVICE, LP,
Erroneously Sued As Levy Restaurants and
Catering; COMPASS GROUP, PLC, doing
business as Levy Premium Foodservice,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 25-2687

D.C. No. 2:24-cv-04430-MWF-MAA

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Michael W. Fitzgerald, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 25, 2026**

Before: SILVERMAN, N.R. SMITH, and DE ALBA, Circuit Judges.

Felicia A. Mc Innis appeals pro se from the district court's judgment
dismissing her employment action alleging discrimination claims under federal

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

law. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for an abuse of discretion a district court's dismissal on the basis of its local rules. *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion by dismissing Mc Innis's action because Mc Innis failed to oppose the motion to dismiss. *See* C.D. Cal. R. 7-12 ("The failure to file any required document, or the failure to file it within the deadline, may be deemed consent to the granting or denial of the motion"); *Ghazali*, 46 F.3d at 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (discussing factors to be considered before dismissing a case for failure to follow local rules, recognizing that "[f]ailure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal," and affirming dismissal for failure to file an opposition to a motion to dismiss).

All pending motions are denied.

AFFIRMED.