

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 27 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

VICENTE RODRIGUEZ ALVARADO,

No. 25-7050

Petitioner,

Agency No.

A206-237-111

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

TODD BLANCHE, Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 25, 2026\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, N.R. SMITH, and DE ALBA, Circuit Judges.

Vicente Rodriguez Alvarado, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for cancellation of removal.

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

whether the agency erred in applying the exceptional and extremely unusual hardship standard to a given set of facts. *Gonzalez-Juarez v. Bondi*, 137 F.4th 996, 1003 (9th Cir. 2025). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency’s determination that Rodriguez Alvarado has not shown that his removal would result in exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to his qualifying relatives. *See id.* at 1006 (petitioner must show hardship that “deviate[s], in the extreme, from the norm” when compared to “the hardship that results in the usual, ordinary course when an alien is removed”).

We reject as unsupported by the record Rodriguez Alvarado’s contention that the agency erred in the hardship analysis.

The motion to stay removal is denied as moot.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**