

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 31 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

AMIERR AYAIID ABDUL AZIZZ, AKA
Ronald W. Bratton,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

C. YOUNG, ADA Coordinator; et al.,

Defendants,

and

A. MAXFIELD, Correctional Counselor II,
Appeals Coordinator; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 24-6642

D.C. No.

5:20-cv-03885-BLF

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
Beth Labson Freeman, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 25, 2026**

Before: SILVERMAN, N.R. SMITH, and DE ALBA, Circuit Judges.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

California state prisoner Amierr Ayaid Abdul Azizz appeals pro se from the district court's post-judgment order denying his motion to refund the filing fee in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for an abuse of discretion. *Taylor v. Delatoore*, 281 F.3d 844, 847 (9th Cir. 2002). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Azizz's motion to refund the filing fee because the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA") does not provide any authority or mechanism for the district court to return the filing fee after dismissal of an action. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), (b)(1); *Taylor*, 281 F.3d at 847 ("Under the PLRA, all prisoners who file [in forma pauperis] civil actions must pay the full amount of the filing fee.").

To the extent Azizz seeks to challenge the district court's underlying judgment, we do not consider his contentions because they are outside the scope of this appeal.

The motion (Docket Entry No. 14) to refund the appellate filing fee is denied.

AFFIRMED.