

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 1 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SAGE ANTONY STELLAR, FKA
Anthony Charles Hall,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ARIZONA STATE PRISON; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 25-1104

D.C. No. 4:23-cv-00353-SHR-PSOT

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
Scott H. Rash, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 25, 2026**

Before: SILVERMAN, N.R. SMITH, and DE ALBA, Circuit Judges.

Arizona state prisoner Sage Antony Stellar appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging constitutional

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

violations arising during his incarceration. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We affirm.

Because Stellar fails to address the district court's grounds for dismissal in his opening brief, we do not consider that decision. *See Indep. Towers of Wash. v. Washington*, 350 F.3d 925, 929 (9th Cir. 2003) (explaining that “we will not consider any claims that were not actually argued in appellant’s opening brief”); *Acosta-Huerta v. Estelle*, 7 F.3d 139, 144 (9th Cir. 1992), *as amended* (Oct. 8, 1993) (explaining that issues not supported by argument in pro se appellant’s opening brief are deemed abandoned).

We do not consider documents or facts not presented to the district court. *See United States v. Elias*, 921 F.2d 870, 874 (9th Cir. 1990).

All pending motions and requests are denied.

AFFIRMED.