

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 24 2026

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ABDUL RASHID,

No. 15-73218

Petitioner,

Agency No.

v.

A070-145-179

TODD BLANCHE, Attorney General,

MEMORANDUM*

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 22, 2026**

Before: OWENS, COLLINS, and SUNG, Circuit Judges.

Abdul Rashid, a native and citizen of Pakistan, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Rashid’s second motion to reopen as number-barred and untimely, where Rashid did not show changed country conditions in Pakistan to qualify for an exception to the filing requirements. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(c)(7)(A) (only one motion to reopen allowed), (c)(7)(C)(i) (motion to reopen must be filed within ninety days of the final removal order); (c)(7)(C)(ii) (exception applies if “evidence is material and was not available and would not have been discovered or presented at the previous proceeding”); *see also Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 989-90 (9th Cir. 2010) (petitioner’s evidence lacked materiality because “it simply recount[ed] generalized conditions” in the country of removal).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.