NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

OCT 28 2026

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EDWIN HAMID NAHAVANDI,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, the State of California acting in its higher education capacity,

Defendant - Appellee,

and

ERIKA DREW BECK, ZEINA OTAKY RAMIREZ, WILLIAM WATKINS,

Defendants.

No. 25-3187

D.C. No.
2:24-cv-03791-RGK-E

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
R. Gary Klausner, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 27, 2026**

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Before: SILVERMAN, FORREST, and VANDYKE, Circuit Judges.

Edwin Hamid Nahavandi appeals pro se from the district court's summary judgment in his action alleging violations of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Desire, LLC v. Manna Textiles, Inc.*, 986 F.3d 1253, 1259 (9th Cir. 2021). We affirm.

The district court properly granted summary judgment because Nahavandi failed to raise a genuine dispute of material fact as to whether defendants discriminated or retaliated against Nahavandi "on the ground of race, color, or national origin" when they suspended him for violating the student conduct code. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 2000d; *Rashdan v. Geissberger*, 764 F.3d 1179, 1182-83 (9th Cir. 2014) (describing de novo review of summary judgment).

We do not consider matters raised for the first time on appeal. *See Scafidi v. Las Vegas Metro. Police Dep't*, 966 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2020).

AFFIRMED.