NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

NOV 3 2026

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VIOLETA YOVANA MONTES URROZ, Petitioner, v. TODD BLANCHE, Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 25-6875 Agency No. A246-152-863 MEMORANDUM[*]

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 27, 2026[**]

Before: SILVERMAN, FORREST, and VANDYKE, Circuit Judges.

Violeta Yovana Montes Urroz, a native and citizen of Nicaragua, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order summarily dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying her applications for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

[**] The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Convention Against Torture. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the BIA's summary dismissal of an appeal. *Nolasco-Amaya v. Garland*, 14 F.4th 1007, 1012 (9th Cir. 2021). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in summarily dismissing Montes Urroz's appeal where the notice of appeal was untimely filed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(d)(2)(i)(G).

Montes Urroz's contention that equitable tolling of the filing deadline is warranted is not properly before the court because she did not sufficiently raise it before the BIA. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(d)(1) (administrative remedies must be exhausted); *Suate-Orellana v. Garland*, 101 F.4th 624, 629 (9th Cir. 2024) (the exhaustion requirement is not jurisdictional, but must be enforced if properly raised by a party); *see also Magana-Magana v. Bondi*, 129 F.4th 557, 574 (9th Cir. 2025) (petitioner failed to put the BIA on notice of equitable tolling claim).

The motion to stay removal is denied as moot.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.