NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

NOV 3 2026

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

KRISSIA IBETH LARIN-CONTRERAS, Petitioner, v. TODD BLANCHE, Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 25-7774 Agency No. A216-601-533 MEMORANDUM[*]

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 27, 2026[**]

Before: SILVERMAN, FORREST, and VANDYKE, Circuit Judges.

Krissia Ibeth Larin-Contreras, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying her applications for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

[**] The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We deny the petition for review.

As to asylum and withholding of removal, Larin-Contreras does not challenge the BIA's conclusion that she waived review of the IJ's dispositive determination that her proposed particular social group is not cognizable. *See Lopez-Vasquez v. Holder*, 706 F.3d 1072, 1079-80 (9th Cir. 2013). Larin-Contreras also does not challenge the agency's denial of CAT protection. *See id.* Thus, we do not address these issues.

We do not address Larin-Contreras' contentions as to credibility, past persecution, and nexus because the BIA did not deny relief on these grounds. *See Santiago-Rodriguez v. Holder*, 657 F.3d 820, 829 (9th Cir. 2011) ("In reviewing the decision of the BIA, we consider only the grounds relied upon by that agency." (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).

The motion to stay removal is denied as moot.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.